SA Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE) Classification

December 2009

Ben Fee (Ecologist) Glen Scholz (Principal Ecologist)

Science, Monitoring and Information Division



Government of South Australia Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation

SAAE Program

- Coordinate and integrate management the State's AEs
 - Wetlands, watercourses and estuaries
 - Ecosystem function-based, catchment-to-coast approach
 - Allows informed decisions to be made in data-poor areas / sites
- Based on:
 - Best practice landscape-scale science and management;
 - Broad consultation within Environment and Conservation Portfolio, NRM Boards, interstate and national government
 - Application trials SAMDB, SAAL, MLR
 - SAAE products (so far):
 - Improved State AE mapping consolidation and gap analysis
 - State-scale AE typology trial application in SAMDB
 - Conceptual diagrams and models most completed
 - Condition assessments Flinders & Gawler Ranges
 - EWR determination MLR



Government of South Australia

Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation

South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



SAAE Typology

- Why develop a new classification system?
 - Site features vs landscape function
- Hale and Butcher (2008) *Review of AE classifications*
 - Assessment criteria (x8):
 - Hierarchical, scientifically valid, inclusive, comprehensive, objective, ecologically meaningful, feasible, compatible
- Timms and Boulton (2001) Typology of arid-zone floodplain wetlands of the Paroo River (inland Australia)
 - influence of water regime, turbidity and salinity on aquatic invertebrate assemblages.



SAAE Typology

- Ecosystem function-based typology
 - Uses geomorphological, hydrological and ecological characteristics of aquatic ecosystems to define different aquatic ecosystem types that exist in South Australia

Typology attributes:

- Climate (Koppen climate regionalisation)
- Geomorphology
 - Landscape setting surrounding AE polygon
 - Landform within AE polygon
 - AE size area
 - Substrate rock type, mineral type, organic
- Hydrology
 - Hydrological connectivity wrt other AEs
 - Water source direct runoff, catchment, groundwater
 - Water regime: Inflows permanent, seasonal, ephemeral
 - Water regime: Persistence permanent, > 1 yr, < 1 yr
 - Salinity fresh, brackish, saline



Government of South Australia

SAAE typology: RRP trial (desk-top)

Riverine Recovery Project	vorine Recuvery Project AE CLASSIFICATION ATTRIBUTES										
2,	Landrease rotting				Hydragenlagical zetting					Other	
	Climate" [Kappa]	Landrcaps sotting	Landform	Size Escale	Substrate	Hydralagy	Water seurce	Water regime:	Water regime: Persistance	Selisity	Togotatian prosonce
Wetland type (INLAND & MOT inrtream)	D = Drarol; G = Grandad; T = Temarode	F&= Fiul; Den = Denul; 228 = Hills; 3=6 = Sekternense	D = Dania; Fl = Flat; Sub = Sakternana H = Hand Ollar	Heysen 24,000 kg Hessen 240 kg 44,000 kg Hessen 25 kg 400 kg Hessen 25 kg Leyten 12 Mar 4 kg Hessen 24 kg Hessen 24 Mar 4	Gr = Grazile; Brd = Brdrack Ind spraifiedly grazile; H-S = Hierral Stat; H-C = Hierral Stat; Org = Organic Oligan	BC - Directly second dia nais ekanoli These - Theory bilany Dure - Our-back flasy Bell - Rebindy Bell - Rebindy Theory - Teamarchel Theory - Teamarchel East - Eal of system Other	LB - Local county SW - Calabaral fed; SW - Unantifierd generaturiter; Bull - Refering SW - Unan	P = Permanent; S = Seamonal = 1:11; E = Entemperal = 1:11;	● = Promanal; ▼ = Veran > tg = Castgeren ● Recentle tare!	Percela Forskuster (d. 1,000.0741) Beaut - Destitik 1,000.430.000.07431 Sait - Saiter- Isperation 1-11.010.07431	▼eg = Vegelaled; Tege = Magnelale
1 L Inlandlakor	D	FI/Dun	В	MacrotMoro	[M-S/M-C]	Thru	[SW/GW]	P/E	P	Brack	Unveg
2 L Dunelaker	D/G/T	Dun	В	MacrofMore	M-S	Thru	GW	All	All	Brack	Unveg
3 L Saltlakor	D/G/T	FI/Dun	В	MagatMacro	[M-S/M-C]	EoS	SW	E	77A	[SalinefHyper]	Unveg
4 L. Terminel leker	D/G/T	FIVDun	B	MegarMacro	[M-S/M-C]	Term	SW	STE	YZA	Frank / Brack	Unvag
5 L. Permanent frackwater lake Permanent Frackwater room:	1/6	Hill/Fl Delet / Fn	B	Macro/Moro/Micro/Lepte Macro/Maro/Micro/Lepte	[B#4/M-S/M-C] [B#4/M-S/M-C]	Then & Lorm Then & Lorm	[LR/SW/GW]	P	P	Frank	Unveg
6 P Arterianspringr	D	FI/ Dun	[FI/Sub]/[M/SU	Lepto/Nano	[M-S/M-C/0ra]	Thru	Art	P	P/Y	Brack	Yea
7 P Inlandswamps	D	FI/Dun	FI	AllexceptNana	[M-S/M-C/Or4]	Thruf[Over/Ret]/[Over/EaS]	SW	E	Y/A	Brack	Yog
8 P Rockholer	D/G	FI	В	Nano	Gr	Uncann	LR	E	A	Brack	Unveg
9 P Claypanz	D/G/T	FI/Dun	В	Macro / Mero / Micro	M-C	Uncann	LR	S/E	A	Brack	Unveg
10 P Flundplain	D/G/T	FI	FI	MacrotMorotMicro	[M-S/M-C]	Over	SW	STE	A	All	Unneg
11 P Inland interdunal wetlandr	D/G/T	Dun	FI	Macro / Moro	[M-S/M-C]	Rot	[LR/SW]	S/E	Y/A	Brack	Vog
12 P Soakr&springr	D/G/T	Hill/FL	FI	MicrofLopto	[M-S/M-C/Org]	Rot	GW	P/S	All	[Fresh/Brack]/[Brack/Saline	Vog
13 P Granssodgewotland	G/T	Hill / FI	FI	Mora / Micra / Lopta	[M-S/M-C/Org]	Rot	[LR/SW/GW]	S	All	[Fresh/Brack]	Vog
14 P Saline Suamp	G/T	FI	FIY	Planna / Mora / Micra / Lopta	M-S/M-6	Bet/Term & Over J Thru	[LR/GW]	áll.	iðit.	Saline / Hyper	Unveg
15 P. Tempurary metlandr	G/T	FI	B	Macro / Mary / Nicen / Lapta	[M-S/M-C]	Then & Onar & Larm	[LR/SW]	SYE	ē.	Frank / Brack	Vog/Wanag
16 P Freshwater meadour	Т	Hill/FL	FI	MarafMicrafLopta	[M-S/M-C]	Rot	LR	S/E	A	Fresh	Vog
17 P Poatswamp	T	Hill / FI	FI	Mara / Micra / Lopta	Org	Rot	[LR/SW/GW]	P	P	Fresh	Vog
18 S Karstevetome	G/T	Sub	Sub	Macro/Mero/Micro/Lepta	Bed/IBed/M-S/M-C	Thru	GW	P/S	P	Fresh / Brack / Saline	Unveg
	Climete"	Landrcape	lastro-sm type			Hydralagical canasctivity	Water Saurce	Water regime:	Veter regime: Persistence	Selisity	
Watarcourra typa (INSTREAM)	• = Dearel; • = Geanaland; • = Tennerale	MENT = Hills; ST = Slape; FT = Ftal	WC - Walersson; WE - Walershile; Sy - Spring; Mach - Analyzank			Conn - Always sameraled; Seni - Sanclines sameraled	LE = Local consifi SM = Calabarat Sed; SM = Generalizater	P = Premanent; I = Intermittent [s tet]; E = Enterment (stet)	P = Permanent; H = Hiddeen > 1ge dant perm ; H = Annal 1: 1ael	Porak a freshualer; Saliao a Saliar; Baper a Iaperaaliar; Eary a Earabaliar	
1 R Permanent matercaurre reac	G/T	SIFFI	[WC/Anab/Sp]			Cann	[A8]	P	P	Fresh / Eury	
2 R Searanal matercaurze reach	G/T	All	[WO/Anab/Sp]			Semi	[A8]	19 C	ê.	All	
Za R SearonalWCwaterhole	G/T	SITE	[WH/Sp]			Somi	[AII]	196	P	Eury	
3 R Ephemoral untercourse read	D/G	All	[WO/Anab]			Somi	SW	E	e i	Eury / Frank & Salina	
3a R Ephomoral WC waterholo	D/G	SIZEI	[WH/Sel			Semi	ISW/GW1	E	P/M	Eary / Fresh / Seline	5X

SAAE typology: RRP project area



SAAE typology: RRP regional types

Wetland Type	Border to Overland Corner	Overland Corner to Mannum	Mannum to Wellington	Total
Floodplain	1	1	0	2
Permanent Lake – Terminal Branch	27	43 (2)	6 (3)	76
Permanent Lake - Throughflow	22 (1)	56 (1)	4 (1)	82
Permanent Swamp – Terminal Branch	51	12	3	66
Permanent Swamp - Throughflow	29	19	13	61
Saline Swamp	33 (28)	4 (2)	4 (1)	41
Temporary Wetland – Overbank Flow	210 (7)	122	24 (1)	356
Temporary Wetland – Terminal Branch	118 (8)	78	11(5)	207
Temporary Wetland – Throughflow	ıry Wetland – 111 (2) 127 (1) İow		5	243
Permanent Reach	92	40	1	133
Seasonal Reach	8	0	0	8
Ephemeral Reach	119	33	1	153
Total	821 (46)	535 (6)	72 (11)	1,428 (63)



Links between typologies

- Can translate between typologies and scales.
 - National = ANAE (hierarchical)
 - = DIWA (many-to-many)
 - State = SAAE typology
 - = Qld Wetlands Programme (attributes)
 - = NSW wetlands classification (attributes)
 - Regional = RRP (polygons ... FIRUs?)= potential LEB & SE applications

SAAE translation spreadsheet



Government of South Australia Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation

State-scale translation Desert wetlands

SA desert wetland		
typology	NSW desert wetland typology	Qld desert wetland typology
Inland salt lakes (D; L; NP; SW; M; E)	Lacustrine - arid temporary saline floodplain lakes (river & non-river fed)	Semi-arid, lacustrine, all, commonly wet, saline, all
		Semi-arid, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
		Semi-arid, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, non-floodplain
		Desert, lacustrine, all, commonly wet, saline, all
		Desert, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
		Desert, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
Arid zone lakes (D; L; NP; FW; M; E)	Lacustrine - arid temporary fresh floodplain lakes	Semi-arid, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, non-floodplain
	Lacustrine - arid temporary alternating floodplain lakes	Desert, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, non-floodplain
Inland arid zon e swamps (D; P; NP; SW; M; S)	Palustrine - arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by sedges / grasses	Semi-arid, palustrine, mineral soil, periodically inundated, all, non- floodplain
	Palustrine - arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by shrubland	Desert, palustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, non-floodplain
	Palustrine - arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by woodland	
GAB springs (D; P; P; FW/SW; M/R; n/a)	Palustrine - arid groundwater dependent wetlands	none
Terminal depression lakes (D/G; L; NP; FW; M/R; S)	none	Semi-arid, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
		Desert, lacustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
River red gum / Eucalypt woodland	Palustrine - arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by woodland	Semi-arid, palustrine, mineral soil, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
(D/G; P; NP; FW; M; E)	Palustrine - semi-arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by forest	Desert, palustrine, all, periodically inundated, all, floodplain
	Palustrine - semi-arid temporary floodplain wetlands dominated by woodland	



Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation



Use of the SAAE typology

- Multi-use tool:
 - Baseline categorisation to reduce complexity
 - Predictive modelling of ecosystem function through development of complementary conceptual models
 - Provides context to prioritisation (representativeness and rarity of AE types)
- NOT FOR CULLING AE numbers at end of process
 - Especially with broad-scale prioritisations
 - Issues of scale



SAAE – Next steps

- 1. Communications strategy for SAAE Program
- 2. Mapping: gap analysis and collation of project data
- **3. Typology** trials:
 - Incorporate new data / knowledge (e.g. salinity / depth)
 - SAMDB expert panel validation then bottom-up analysis;
 - LEB and SE- desktop & expert panel;
 - CSIRO FP habitat mapping
- 4. Conceptual diagrams / models: develop for remaining SA AE types
- 5. **Prioritising** SA AEs: HCVAE LEB trial







Biodiversity Conservation

The Living Murray

One River. One Life. Our Future





ArcScene



2.0 m - 4.5 m



Potential SAMDB Regionalisations

Existing regionalisation data:

- IBRA sub-regions
 - Pro: extensive biotic, etc database
 - Con: limited aquatic dependent species application;
- Surfacewater catchments / sub-catchments
 - Pro: meaningful for lotic systems and aquatic-dependent biota
 - Con: unsophisticated and lentic system limitations
- SRA sub-valley regionalisation
 - Pro: in use and geological / gradient basis
 - Con: spatially inequitable
 - Other?



Aquatic Bioregions (DWLBC, EPA, DEH, Uni of Adelaide, SARDI)





SRA Regionalisation



South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



Wetland types - SW local runoff



Almost all freshwater meadows are cropped or grazed, and are heavily modified Freshwater meadows are an excellent breeding ground for beetles, bugs and frogs Aquifer levels increase with higher rainfall; water is present approximately 3/4's of the year The main threats to the systems are cattle grazing, cropping and ploughing Location: Mount Lofty region, Hay Plains NSW

teeds

Liznum



			Precipitation is the major input of water into the meadow
Features	;		(5) Runoff of freshwater From terrestrial areas
1	Light silty clay		6 Evaporation rates are very high
	611		There is high organic matter in the water column and sediments
2	Silty clay		B Dissolved oxygen levels (in the sediments are low
3	Aquifer:		Sediments have high sulfide levels
Ŭ	Saturated porous media		Primary producitivity view is high
			Species Diversity (Qualitative TBD)
	he day		LOW NODERATE
arian Growth	Fringing vegetation	34	2
		K Dragon Fly	Species Endemism (Qualitative TBD)

Waders



HIGH

Wetland types – SW catchment runoff



The frequency of inundation varies. The inflow point is higher than the lowest point of the lake. The lakes in South Australia are freshwater and are not much deeper than 2m. Low fish and bird abundance through dry phases. Grazing is the biggest threat to terminal depression lakes. Location: Bool Lagoon, SE

Features

Surficial sediments

Saturated porous media

Aquifer:

Processes

- Terminal depression lakes are fed by river, shallow, highly turbid and largely heterotophic (4) in the main water body and autotrophic at the margins
- (5) Access channel is prone to drying up and therefore the lake can easily be cut-off
- (6) Vegetation 4000 can cover much of the lake, moreso in arid areas
- Fish 🕬 and bird 🧷 abundance is high in freshwater
- Boom bust populations of invertebrates 🎲 (8)
- 0 River inflow brings nutrients 📂 , sediments 🚛 , organic carbon 🚛 and organisms 🖉
- 60 There are high transpiration \mathcal{T} and evaporation \mathcal{T} rates







Sediment layers can be up to 3m deep, laid down over 100,000 years



Wetland types - GW driven



- pH is quite low (5-6) () and biota are adapted to the acidic environment.
 - Conductivity 💓 and nutrient 💓 levels are very low

Species Diversity (Qualcolive TBD)

Clay lense prevents the water to permeate through to recharge the aquifer, creates a local water table, and discharges into the lake

Silica sand substrate

Saturated porous media

Aquifer:

Limestone

(6)

LOW ИСОВАТЕ Нан Species Endernism (Quatrative TEX) Low НСКАХТ НАЗН Salinity Threshold (250 501 750 100 1550 200 200 500 500 400 400 900 105 гад.

SAAE – conceptual models



For conceptual model template, see: Wilkinson, J, Souter, N, & Fairweather, P 2007, Best Practice Framework for the Monitoring and Evaluation of Water-Dependent Ecosystems 1: Framework and 2. Technical Resource, DWLBC Report 2007/12, Government of South Australia, through DWLBC, Adelaide.

South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



SE WaterRAT - GW use (threat)



Figure 14. Water-RAT output - Total estimated groundwater usage (both irrigation and forestry)

South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



SAAE – condition assessment



Flinders Ranges study area

Matthew Miles (2009). Unpublished DEH Wetlands Mapping

Flinders Ranges Springs Prioritisation



Spring types

A Fractured Rock B Groundwater Discharge C Sub-surface Flow D Fault Line

The Flinders Ranges contain a vast array of springs that vary in discharge, permanence, geology, geomorphology and ecology. As more investigations are undertaken it will be necessary to update the conceptual diagram to better understand the hydrological processes and the ecosystem water requirements and determine if any threats exist that may impact these spring aquatic ecosystems.

Typically, springs are located in ephemeral streambeds with spring and stream flow corresponding with rainfall events that recharge the aquifer and cause spring flow in the fractured rock dominated environment. The springs and streams flow either episodically (after heavy rainfall events) or seasonally. Some springs flow permanently and are probably connected to a deeper aquifer source. A summarised description of each spring type follows:

A - Fractured Rock: water follows rock fractures, which may lead to the surface. These fracture features often mimic rainfall events.

B - Groundwater Discharge: where groundwater from a underlying aquifer is expressed at the surface, these are usually permanent features. C - Sub-surface Flow: whereby a stream disappears underground and is expressed at the surface when the sediment type is very porous.

D - Fault Line when groundwater travels along a fault line and intersects a streambed and water be expressed at the surface.



Flinders Ranges Spring Prioritisation

Table 4. Attributes used to assess vegetation condition (*Indicator Protocol: Riverine Vegetation*, developed by the National River Health Contact Group; Roberts & Hale, 2008, In Press).

	LARGELY UNMODIFIED	SLIGHTLY MODIFIED	MODERATELY MODIFIED	SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIED	SEVERELY MODIFIED
SPATIAL INTEGRITY	No or little evidence of broad scale loss of native vegetation	Width reduced by up to 1/3 and/or some breaks in continuity	About 50% of the native vegetation remains, either in strips or patches	Only small patches of well-separated native vegetation remains	Little or no remaining native vegetation
NATIVENESS (perennials)	Vegetation predominately native, few weeds and no 'high threat' species.	Exotic species present but not dominating any strata, 'high threat' species rare	One or more strata dominated by exotic species, 'high threat' species present	Most strata dominated by exotic species, 'high threat' species abundant	Few native species remaining, cover dominated by exotic species
STRUCTURAL	Number of strata and cover within each is similar to reference	Cover within one stratum 50% lower or higher than reference	One stratum missing or extra cover within remaining stratum 50% lower or higher than reference	More than one stratum completely altered from reference (lost or <10% remaining)	Structure completely altered from reference (eg. grassland shrubland, forest pasture)
AGE STRUCTURE	Dominant strata with reference level of cover and at least three age classes present (juvenile, sub-adults & adults)	Reduced cover (75- 50%) of dominant strata, and/or only two age classes present	Reduced cover (75- 50%) of dominant strata, and only one age class present	Reduced cover (<50%) of dominant strata, and only one age class present	Dominant strata mostly absent
DEBRIS	Quantities and cover similar to reference	Some evidence of unnatural loss of debris (eg. firewood collection, trampling of leaf litter by stock)	Quantities and/or cover 50% higher or lower than reference	Very small quantities of debris present	Debris mostly absent or completely dominating the sites, with little or no living vegetation

White, M & Scholz, B. 2008, *Prioritising Springs of Ecological significance in the Flinders Ranges,* DWLBC Report 2008/XX Version 1, Government of South Australia, through Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Adelaide

South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



Qld EPA estuary monitoring & reporting

Qld EPA estuary targets, prioritisation & mgt

Qld EPA estuary 'condition' assessment

Home About Us Governance Local Government Authority Clusters Activities Action Programs Information and Publications Funding Opportunities Mapping & Geographic Information **Employment &** Tender Opportunities Links Contact Us A community based, not for profit organisation supported by: Queensland

> AUSTRALIA Natural Heritage Trust

act on

Salinity & Water



REAP Login | GIS Login | Member Login | Staff Login | Contact Us

BURNETT MARY ESTUARIES IN NATIONAL CONTEXT

BURNETT MARY REGION State of the Estuarine Environment

Regional summary

Overall the estuaries of the Burnett Mary NRM region are generally under 'low risk' of damage due to human activities, however, some estuaries are currently under 'high' or 'extreme' risk. Despite the overall low risk, the regions estuaries are generally only in 'fair' health. Although no estuaries were found to be in 'poor' or 'very poor' health, 6 of the 18 examined were fair - the remainder being 'good' or 'excellent'. **Download** the full **State of the Estuarine Environment** report



Key stressors

The major risk to estuaries in the region results from pressures related to increasing sediment loads and the disturbance or removal of biota. Generally, the overall risk of damage to the estuaries of the region due to stress from bacteria/pathogens, freshwater flow, habitat removal/disturbance, hydrodynamics, litter, nutrients, organic matter, pests, pH and toxicants is 'low' or 'negligible'. This corresponds to 'good' or 'excellent' condition in

Information about the assessment process

As part of the Queensland Government's Stream and Estuarine Assessment Program an estuarine assessment framework has been developed for Queensland. This assessment framework has been used here in the Burnett Mary region to produce this State of the Estuarine Environment report.

	ESTUARY	HEALTH	RISK	TREND
•	Baffle	A+	LOW	~~
1	Bogimbah	В-	NEGLIGIBLE	
	Burnett	C-	EXTREME	m
	Burrum	C+	LOW	
	Coona n	A+	NEGLIGIBLE	~~
	Coongull	В-	NEGLIGIBLE	
	Elliott	A	MODERATE	m
	Eurimbula	A+	NEGLIGIBLE	
	Gregory	C+	LOW	for
	Isis	C+	LOW	
	Kauri	A+	NEGLIGIBLE	
	Kolan	A	HIGH	m
	Littabella	A	NEGLIGIBLE	
	Mary	C-	HIGH	fro
	Snapper	A+	MODERATE	m
	Susan	C+	LOW	
	Theodolite	A+	NEGLIGIBLE	for
			Succession and succession of	The same

Qld EPA estuary monitoring & reporting

Qld EPA estuary targets, prioritisation & mgt

Qld EPA estuary 'condition' assessment











REAP Login | GIS Login | Member Login | Staff Login | Contact Us

Estuary Report: Burnett River

Summary

as good.

indicators were monitored.

bacteria/pathogen levels.

Management of bacteria/pathogens

Overall the Burnett estuary is under an 'extreme' level of risk of damage due to human activities. Despite this extreme level of risk, the estuary is currently in 'fair' health. This suggests that unless management actions are performed to reduce this risk the condition of the estuary will most likely decrease in the future.



Stressor information - bacteria/pathogens Human activities resulting in increased amounts of bacteria/pathogens entering the Burnett estuary are

a major risk to the health of the system. As a result of these pressures the current condition of the estuary in relation to bacteria/pathogens is recorded

A 'high' level of data confidence is reported for the

overall risk and condition values recorded, however, with only 50% of the pressure indicators monitored

the level of support for the 'risk' is not as strong as those values recorded for condition where all

Due to the relatively low flushing rate of the estuary it has a high level of vulnerability to increased

The level of sewage treatment plant wastewater disinfection is the major pressure resulting in the

extreme level of risk reported. Sewage overflow

events were found to cause a moderate level of risk. These pressures are here identified as key targets



Overall health of estuary	FAIR	HIGH
% of data collected	77	

ASSESSMENTS OF INDIVIDUAL STRESSORS

STRESSOR Bacteria/Pathog	jens	2007 🗸
2015	Score	Confidence
Risk (this stressor)	EXTREME	VERY HIGH
Pressure indicators		
STP outflow disinfection	нідн	VERY HIGH
sewage overflow events	MODERATE	HIGH
intensive animal production	LOW	HIGH
septics within catchment	No data	
stormwater outflow	No data	
marine aquaculture	No data	
Health (this stressor)	GOOD	HIGH
Condition indicators		
Intestinal enterococci counts	GOOD	нідн
mass mortality caused by	and the second second second	

pathogens

MODERATE

South Australian Aquatic Ecosystems (SAAE)



SAAE & HCVAEs



HCVAE identification criteria

➤ 1. Representativeness – It contains an outstanding example of an aquatic ecosystem class, within a Drainage Division

> 2. Diversity - It exhibits exceptional diversity of species or habitats, and/or hydrological and/or geomorphological features/processes

➤ 3. Distinctiveness - It is a rare/threatened or unusual aquatic ecosystem; and/or it supports rare/threatened species/communities; and/or it exhibits rare or unusual geomorphological features/ processes and/or environmental conditions

➤ 4. Key habitat - It provides habitat for unusually large numbers of a particular species of interest; and/or it supports species of interest in critical life cycle stages or at times of stress; and/or it supports specific communities and species assemblages

➤ 5. Evolutionary history - It exhibits features or processes and/or supports species or communities which demonstrate the evolution of Australia's landscape or biota

➤ 6. Naturalness - The aquatic ecosystem values are not adversely affected by modern human activity to a significant level



SA interim HCVAE list (2010–11)

Drain age Di vision	Category	Arret	T;pe of Allet	HCVA E criteria	Priorit;
it/e∎tern	Other HCVAE	Lake Hamilton		2,3,4	5
Piateau		Lake Newland	Wettands (DIWA)	2,4,5	þ
		Chair ofbays (Streaky, Sceale and Corus art Bays – Including Seaguil Lake & Acraman Creek)	Wettands (D1WA)	3,4,5	1
		Venus Bay	Wettands	4,5	1
		Ceduna Bays (Including Daue sportCreek)	Me ttands	3,4,5	2
SECosit	Rams ar	Bool & Hacks Lagool	Wettands (DIWA)	1,3,4,5	9
	Offier HCVAE	Picca link & Picks Swamp Complex	GDE, Wettands (DIWA)	12,3,4,5,6	<u>[</u>
		SEC coastal Salt Lakes (George, Robe, Eliza & StC Lair, Amy Giost, Little Dip, Big Dip, Fresi Dip & Pio)	GDE, Wettands (DIWA)	4,5	7
		Lake Frome and Mulling Swamp	Wettands (DIWA)	4,5	3
		Lake Hawdon Complex	Wettands	3,4	2
		Lower SE Freshwater Marshes (Honas Swamp, Kangaroo Flat, The Marshes, Mit Lyon, MitBurr, Dismai Swamp, MitMcIntyre, Whennen, Hackett Hill, Woolwash Complexes)	wettands (DIWA)	2,3,4,5,6	5
		Rushy Swamp	We than da	2,3,4,5	ŧ.
		Lower SEC coastal Swamps (Biicks take to Middle point Swamp)	We ttands	2,3,4,5	6
		SEccastal rising springs (including Stratman Pond, Jerusalem Creek Springs, Cress Creek Springs, The Woolwash and Spencers Pondo	GDE	2,3,4,5,6	
sko Evro	Rankar	Coougle Laker	lûk tizade	12345	0 6
cano	Other HCVAE	Diam as fisa River Iúle fasde	lok thands (TO HOLE)	2345	
	ouer novae	Lake Eyre (CAB) Springs (holiding Daliousle Springs Complex and Coward Springs Complex)	Wettands (D1WA)	2,3,4,5,6	
		StrzeleckICk	River Reach	12,45	6
		Cooper Creek In-stream Waterholes (holi dhg Citiyami urra Waterhole)	GDE	1,2,3,4,5	2
		Algebiicklina Waterliole	Wettand	4,5	1
SA Gulf	Offier HOVAE	Coffin Blay, Kellidie Blay and Dutton Blay	EstraryWettands (DIWA)	3,4,5	2
		Orkaparliga Estiary	Estuary	25	5
		Timby & Anio Bays	River Reach (DIWA)	2,4,5	٤.
		Flearle a Peallasada Swamps (Gleashera, Black and Illawong and the Tookayerta & Flanks Catchments)	live tta i dis	2,3,4,5	1
		Filnders Ranges Materinoles	Wettands	2,3,4,5	6
		Big & Little Swamp	Me ttands	25	5
Мигта (; —	Ramsar	Bayrock Station	Me ttands	12,45	ř –
Darling		Riverian d Ramsarsite	Me ttands	12,3,4,5	1
		Coorong, Lakes Alexandrina & Albert	Rams ar – Estrary/marke wetta ids	1,2,3,4,5	
	Offier HCVAE	Katarapko	Wettand		2
		Pike	Wettand		3
		Nettands between Morgan and Lock 3 (holiding Markaranka)	We ttands		
		Brenda Park	Wettand		
		Deuon Downs	Wettand		
		illicore adie	lok thand		

35 x HCVAEs

SAAE & C4oC hcvae's



SA interim C4oC hcvae list (2010-11)

7 x hcvae's

HCVAE	NRM Region	Description
Fleurieu Peninsula Swamps	Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges & South Australian Murray Darling Basin	At present, 233 Fleurieu Peninsula Swamps have been identified - 202 within the Adelaide Mount Lofty Ranges and 31 within the South Australian Murray Darling Basin.
Streaky, Sceale and Corvisart Bays	Eyre Peninsula	
Coffin, Kellidie and Dutton Bays	Eyre Peninsula	
Cooper Creek catchment	South Australian Arid Lands	Permanent pool on the Neales River, large freshwater waterhole – the final waterhole in a drying process in the Neales system.
Pike-Mundic Wetland Complex	South Australian Murray Darling Basin	Floodplain anabranch on the SA River Murray that bypasses Lock 5. System includes Pike Lagoon, Upper Pike River, Mundic Creek and Lower Pike River.
Limestone Coast Wetlands Complex	South East	Piccaninnie Ponds is a groundwater fed, freshwater spring with a large swamp area, three sinkholes (the deepest ~ 90m deep) and large underground chasm. Located on SE coast near the SA-Vic border (E 493855; N 5789107). Picks Swamp is adjacent to Piccaninnie Ponds and houses large tracts of Silky Tea tree (<i>Leptospermum lanigerum</i>)
Pelican Lagoon and surrounding catchments	КІ	

Not a conservation list – an investment prioritisation list.

Includes consideration of threats to AE and capacity to manage the identified threats (ecologically and organisationally)



SAAE workshops



WHAT WE DID

The workshop worked through five items:

- 1) Presentations
- 2) Mapping exercises and discussion on 'applying' the framework
- 3) An audit of what is going on in the regions
- 4) Feedback on the framework and process

1) **PRESENTATIONS**:

- Chris Auricht (AG consultant) links between SAAE and the AG (HCVAEs)
- David Scheltinga (Qld) reporting on AE health (condition) and risk (threats)
- Jim Barratt (DWLBC SMERF) connections between SAAE and SMERF
- Ben Fee / Glen Scholz (DWLBC SAAE) presented the SAAE Framework



2) MAPPING EXERCISE:

- Improve mapping base information
- Improve and test the wetland typology by add typologies to familiar AEs
- Define a process of working with NRM Boards to populate maps and test the typology

What worked?	What didn't work?	What other info is required?	Other notes
 existing info provides a useful starting point the maps are definite triggers for ideas catalyst for thinking and refinement of material and typologies there is a need for this kind of information the Conceptual Diagram were useful to explain and demonstrate the typologies visuals are always useful to support the explanation of complex concepts and or processes maps may be useful to NRM Boards to assist with comments on planning approvals 	 typologies didn't always match the real case scenario therefore hard to classify the right personnel is required to inform the mapping exercise and associated typologies Need more detailed wetland and scientific understanding / knowledge from people on ground unclear how to apply the typologies to artificial systems What happens when the typologies change over time? Which one do you use? (Need advice on this) The difference between typologies was hard to follow – need more info The inconsistencies in mapping for the specific regions (eg Fleurieu Swamps example) wasn't useful The sale of the maps was difficult to work with 	 A coordinated approach to collect the map and typology info. This could include: Linking with NRM Board members Working with NRM Boards to source local contacts LAP Groups Advisory Committees / Board Groups Regional DEH staff Need to "vet" typology types with regional experts ie: do they work? Need some descriptors and development of information that supports the typologies (eg features and how they function) Photos and written material could support this Is DWLBC open to new typologies? Eg: Rock holes Maps need more info labeling and 	 Visuals were seen as a powerful tool to support the assessment of typologies Conceptual Diagrams are supported as a particularly useful tool Would like to understand the 'science' behind the typologies Need to add Fresh water lakes
	work with	reference points eg: rivers, roads, townships	

3) AUDIT OF AE WORK IN THE REGIONS

	Mapping	Туроіс	ogy	Concept diagrams	Indicato rs	Condition Assess	Prio ati	ritis on	Monitorin g	Reporting
Adelaide Mount Lofty Ranges	Y	N		Y?	Y	Y	١	/	Y	Y
Eyre Peninsula	Y	Y?			Y?		Y	?	Y?	Y?
Kangaroo Island	?	N		N	?	Y	Y	?	Y	Y
Northern & Yorke	Y?	N		N	?	Y	١	/	?	Y
SA Arid Lands	Y?	Y?		Y?	N	Y?	٩	1	Y?	N
SA Murray Darling Basin	Y	Y		Y	Y?	Y?	١	/	Y?	Y?
South East	Y	Y?		N	N	Y	Y	?	Y	Y
Y= Yes	Y? = Yes (somewhat)		N =	= No	?:	Unsure		"bla	"blank" = no entry	

4) FEEDBACK ON THE SAAE FRAMEWORK

- The SAAE Framework was agreed on as a <u>practical approach</u> to the assessment, monitoring and management of AEs in SA
- The geomorphology and ecosystem process approach to the typology was questioned by Janet Pedler (NCS / DWLBC) <u>preferred veg indicators</u>
- More information is required on the <u>scale of mapping</u> and <u>reasoning behind</u> <u>the typology</u> before developing these further with the Boards
- -<u>NRM Boards have different needs and AE management capacity</u> so the approach taken to liase within and between NRM Boards was well received
- Some NRM Officers recognised that they were not the <u>correct contact</u> for SAAE in these cases alternate contacts will be identified



Koppen climate classification



Semeniuk landform diagrams



Fig. 14. The various landform types that are captured as wetlands in relationship to climate.



Figure 2.8: Descriptors for plan geometry of wetlands.

Semeniuk, C.A. & Semeniuk, V. 1995. *A geomorphic approach to global classification for inland wetlands.* Vegetatio 118: 103-124.









